



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS



Megha Middha

Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and

learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

E-COMMERCE DISPUTES AND JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGES

AUTHORED BY - SRUTHI SEKHAR

School Of Law, Christ University, Bangalore

sruthi.sekhar@law.christuniversity.in

ABSTRACT

India has witnessed a digital revolution since the second half of the twentieth century. The era of electronic commerce is new and still developing. E-Commerce, as the name suggests, is the practice of buying and selling goods and services through online consumer services on the Internet. As technology evolves, legislators confront increasing obstacles in regulating commerce. The virtual world of e-commerce lacks boundaries or territories compared to the physical world. The courts have to get rid of conventional jurisdictional approaches in e-commerce. Jurisdiction is considered to be the most problematic issue concerning electronic commerce. The lack of specific laws and precedents accrued the ambiguities around the same. The arduous task of determining the place of business is the major stumbling block. The rapid growth of e-commerce has given birth to e-contracts. They are similar to conventional contracts, but it throws up several legal challenges in their jurisdiction and enforcement. There are different approaches to resolving this issue, such as applying the law of the place where the contract was formed, where the performance was due, where the harm occurred, or where the parties agreed to submit their disputes. However, this approach may only be consistent in every circumstance and may lead to a conflict of law.

This article assumes relevance in light of the growing e-commerce business in India with foreign companies. This paper sheds light on various legal jurisdiction theories and critically examines their applicability in the current issue. This research paper aims to analyse the jurisdictional challenges in e-commerce disputes critically. E-contracts and their enforceability in India have been given a particular emphasis. The research will dissect the existing laws and regulations that reign over e-commerce in India. Anyone can trace the evidence of the comparative analysis of the Indian legal framework with that of other countries like the United States in this paper.

Various Treaties like the UNICITRAL model law on electronic commerce OECD Convention in 1999 are used in this paper. The legal situation is musky and ambiguous. The lack of uniformity in laws and regulations made it more complex. The entire paper ' E-commerce Disputes and Jurisdictional Challenges' is nothing but an effort to draw up a convincing solution to the arising challenges. This research identifies that there is a dire need for specific legislation to be enacted to determine issues involving jurisdiction for e-commerce disputes. The paper will propose some recommendations and suggestions to remediate the issue concerned.

Introduction

E-commerce has revolutionised how businesses run and how customers interact with the market in the relentless digital era boom. Without a doubt, the ease of conducting business online has transformed international trade, bridging time zones and geographic barriers. However, while creating unheard-of opportunities, this borderless environment has also given rise to a wide range of challenging issues. The complex web of e-commerce disputes and the confusing lanes of jurisdictional complexities are the two biggest obstacles. A new era of commerce has begun as a result of the rapid growth and wide reach of the digital market, where disputes are as varied as the goods and services on offer. E-commerce disputes cover a broad range of disputes, from complex issues of intellectual property infringement to problems with payment discrepancies and product quality. The jurisdictional difficulties in e-commerce have also grown more difficult. The traditional idea of jurisdiction, which is based on territorial distinctions, finds it difficult to keep up with the flexibility of online commercial transactions. Significant legal challenges arise when determining the applicable laws, jurisdiction, and the enforcement of judgements in situations where parties may be spread across different continents.

E-commerce – a historical overview

E-commerce's origins can be found in the early years of the internet, with Stanford University students using the ARPANET for online transactions in 1971 being the first known instance of the practise. Still, the first official business deal didn't happen until 1979 when a Sting album was purchased from Net Market. The idea of electronic commerce, or e-commerce, is the online purchase and sale of goods and services. Entrepreneur Michael Aldrich invented the first online shopping system in 1979 by combining computers and phone lines to create a multi-user transaction system. An important turning point was the rise of businesses like Amazon and eBay in the 1990s, which laid the groundwork for contemporary e-commerce. A Sting CD was

purchased online for the first time in 1994; the New York Times covered this historic event. The introduction of online payment systems like PayPal and the release of platforms like Netscape Navigator thereafter helped to further accelerate the growth of e-commerce. The e-commerce landscape has changed over time due to significant advancements like the introduction of novel payment methods like Buy Now, Pay Later options, the rise of mobile commerce, and the introduction of Amazon Prime. E-commerce has grown into a multibillion-dollar sector thanks to ongoing technological advancements like blockchain security and AI-driven personalization. These developments have revolutionised the way we shop and set the stage for a time when online transactions will be easy, safe, and essential to day-to-day living.¹

Any combination of customers (C), companies (B), or governments (G) can engage in e-commerce through a variety of commercial relationships. These comprise business-to-government (B2G) and traditional B2B transactions, which still make up the majority of the revenue from private sector e-commerce (e.g., government procurement). Consumers are becoming more directly involved in e-commerce transactions, particularly business-to-consumer (B2C) transactions. Furthermore, peer-to-peer and consumer-to-business (C2B) relationships which occur between two or more people are a part of emerging business models.

Progress in the Field and Emerging Challenges

Global trade has been greatly impacted by the rise in cross-border e-commerce in recent years. The process of purchasing and selling goods or services online across international borders is known as cross-border e-commerce. The proliferation of online marketplaces and the expansion of the internet have made cross-border e-commerce more convenient, accessible, and economical for consumers and businesses globally. The rise in international transaction volume is one of the major effects of cross-border e-commerce on world trade. Businesses of all sizes can now reach a global customer base and sell their goods and services beyond their local markets thanks to online marketplaces and platforms. The surge in cross-border e-commerce has significantly impacted global trade in recent years, enabling the seamless purchase and sale of goods and services online across international boundaries. This phenomenon, known as cross-border e-commerce, has been facilitated by the widespread availability of online marketplaces and the expansion of the internet. As a result, consumers and businesses worldwide now enjoy increased

¹ Ben Kazinik, The History of eCommerce - How it All Started, Mayple (Oct. 9, 2023), <https://www.mayple.com/blog/history-of-ecommerce>.

convenience, accessibility, and cost-effectiveness in their online transactions. One of the major consequences of this trend is the substantial growth in international transaction volume. Businesses, regardless of their size, can tap into a global customer base and expand their reach far beyond local markets, all thanks to the presence of diverse online platforms and marketplaces.²

E-commerce disputes

E-commerce disputes cover a broad range of disputes brought on by online transactions. Product quality disputes frequently involve differences between the advertised product and the item received, including problems like fake goods and defective goods. Unauthorised transactions, double charges, and refund issues are a few examples of payment and billing disputes. Delivery and shipping disagreements are brought on by missed deliveries, delayed deliveries, and incorrectly delivered goods. Customer service disputes often involve unhelpful customer service agents and inaccurate information. Copyright infringement, trademark infringement, and patent infringement are all types of intellectual property disputes. Data breaches and the misuse of customer data are examples of privacy and data security disputes.

Disputes arising from e-commerce transactions can be categorized into two main types: contractual disputes and non-contractual disputes. Contractual disputes occur when there is a failure to fulfil a contractual obligation and can involve various parties such as enterprises, service providers, and both business-to-business and business-to-consumer relationships. On the other hand, non-contractual disputes stem from the non-compliance of statutory obligations by any party involved in the transaction. These disputes encompass issues related to copyrights, data protection, domain names, and competition matters, among others.

Jurisdictional challenges

In the globalized digital economy, e-commerce businesses encounter multifaceted jurisdictional challenges that reverberate across cross-border transactions, legal adherence, and consumer trust. Regarding cross-border transactions, disparities in payment regulations, international taxation policies, and shipping logistics create complexities, demanding meticulous navigation of diverse payment systems, tax structures, and customs procedures. Legal compliance mandates addressing

² Anjali Vishwa, The rise of cross-border e-commerce and its impact on global trade, LINKED IN (Apr. 24, 2023), https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/rise-cross-border-e-commerce-its-impact-global-trade-anjali-vishwa?trk=pulse-article_more-articles_related-content-card.

varying data protection laws, safeguarding intellectual property rights, and understanding jurisdiction-specific consumer protection regulations. Additionally, building consumer trust hinges on robust cybersecurity measures, effective dispute resolution mechanisms, and culturally tailored approaches, ensuring transparency, security, and satisfaction. Successfully manoeuvring these challenges necessitates an adept understanding of international regulations, cultural intricacies, and a proactive stance on cybersecurity and customer service, pivotal for the thriving operations of global e-commerce enterprises³

In traditional cases of contractual disputes, resolution typically occurs within the geographical jurisdiction where one or both parties are located. However, in the context of e-commerce, where enterprises operate online and cater to a global customer base, resolving disputes becomes challenging. Standard online contracts often favour the service provider. For example, agreements with platforms like Facebook dictate that disputes are to be settled in specific locations, such as San Francisco, California.

It's crucial to recognize that when goods and services are confined to a specific geographic area, disputes can be managed within the same jurisdiction. However, in the realm of online transactions, negotiating terms individually with a vast customer base becomes nearly impossible. Enterprises have to rely on the information provided by customers, assuming it to be accurate. In the context of civil cases in India, jurisdiction is determined by Sections 15-21 of The Code of Civil Procedure, 1908. However, this legal framework doesn't offer an ideal solution when dealing with cyber jurisdiction. The term "cyber jurisdiction" refers to jurisdictional issues arising in the virtual world, particularly in cases related to conflicts in cyberspace. Unlike physical places, cyberspace is intangible, posing a significant challenge in determining jurisdiction, as it exists in the virtual realm.⁴

Jurisdictional issues in e-commerce stem from the global reach of online transactions and the lack of clear boundaries in the digital realm. E-commerce businesses, operating worldwide, grapple with determining the appropriate jurisdiction for their operations and legal disputes. Challenges arise due to the absence of physical presence requirements, varying data privacy laws, and

³ Katsh, Ethan. "Online dispute resolution: Some lessons from the e-commerce revolution." *Northern Kentucky Law Review*, Vol., pp. [1-10] (2001). Available at: <https://heinonline.org/> (last visited Oct 10, 2023)

⁴ Raunak Kurani, "Jurisdictional Issues Relating to E-Commerce Law in India," *Asia Pacific Law & Policy Review* 6 (APLPR) 71, [6-8] (2020).

consumer protection regulations across jurisdictions. Intellectual property disputes, cybercrime threats, and the complexities of online marketplaces further complicate matters. Additionally, the enforcement of taxation laws and VAT compliance presents a significant hurdle. Resolving disputes in e-commerce, involving parties from different countries, demands efficient and fair online dispute resolution mechanisms. These challenges underscore the need for international cooperation, clear legal frameworks, and advancements in technology to harmonize jurisdictional rules and ensure equitable resolution in the ever-expanding digital marketplace.

Legal perspectives

In the realm of international eCommerce, several pivotal agreements and conventions have been established to navigate the complex terrain of disputes and jurisdictional challenges. The United Nations Convention on the Use of Electronic Communications in International Contracts (2005) stands as a beacon, delineating rules for determining party locations in electronic transactions and fostering global eCommerce through standardized practices. Complementing this, the UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce (1996) provides a robust legal framework, addressing electronic contracts, signatures, and other eCommerce intricacies, thus promoting uniformity in laws across diverse nations.

Additionally, the Hague Conference on Private International Law has played a significant role. The Convention on Choice of Court Agreements (2005) focuses on jurisdictional matters, enabling eCommerce entities to opt for specific courts for dispute resolution. Its counterpart, the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments in Civil or Commercial Matters (2019), lays down a comprehensive framework for recognizing and enforcing foreign judgments, particularly vital in addressing jurisdictional complexities in cross-border eCommerce disputes.

Furthermore, the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency (1997) offers guidance on jurisdictional challenges related to insolvency in cross-border eCommerce transactions, facilitating the recognition and enforcement of foreign insolvency proceedings. Intellectual property disputes, common in eCommerce, find resolution avenues through the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) Arbitration and Mediation Rules, providing alternative dispute resolution procedures and thus avoiding protracted court battles.

Lastly, the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (CISG) (1980) governs international sale contracts, outlining jurisdictional rules, applicable laws, and contract interpretations in international sales, including eCommerce transactions. These agreements collectively form a vital legal infrastructure, promoting global trade and cooperation in the digital economy. It is essential to note, however, that their effectiveness varies based on the unique circumstances of individual cases and the countries involved.⁵

When it comes to the national law, we have taken several aspects of international law to which India is a signatory. Various enactments dealing with online commercial activities and jurisdictional challenges are,

The FDI Policy and the Foreign Exchange Management Act of 1999

The FEMA regulates investments, particularly foreign investments in India's e-commerce sector. Furthermore, the RBI notifies the Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion of the FDI Policy, which modifies the Foreign Exchange Management (Transfer or Issuance of Security by Individuals Residing Outside India).

Indian Contract Act 1872

Agreements resulting from electronic commerce transactions shall be governed by the provisions of The Indian Contract Act, 1872, which deal with proposal communication, acceptance, and revocation. Additionally, the agreement cannot be fraudulent, immoral, unlawful, prohibited by law, or against public policy. Neither can it be the product of one party abusing undue influence over the other. Standard Form of Contracts are how the agreements are made.

The Information Technology Act, 2000

E-Contracts are the predominant form of agreements that occur on e-commerce platforms. According to the IT Act's provisions, an electronic contract meets all requirements set forth in The Indian Contract Act, 1872 in order to be considered valid and enforceable. Furthermore, without changing or amending any existing substantive contract law, the legislation also addresses the sending and receiving of electronic contracts, which is a crucial factor in determining acceptance of a contract.

⁵ Sachin Mishra, Determining Jurisdiction over E-Commerce disputes in India, <http://docs.manupatra.in/newsline/articles/upload/FE4BA350-DBEF-49DA-97D4-09E54ED8B813.pdf>, pg 10-15, 25th October 2023

India v. US – Determining Jurisdiction in E commerce disputes

In the context of e-commerce disputes in India, jurisdictional issues have been a complex terrain to navigate. The Information Technology Act, 2000, has laid the groundwork for recognizing e-commerce transactions, with provisions extending legal recognition to electronic communication, digital signatures, and electronic documents, bolstering the legitimacy of e-contracts. However, the borderless nature of the internet complicates territorial disputes. Indian courts, especially the Delhi High Court, have grappled with jurisdictional challenges, emphasizing the need for defendants to have purposefully availed themselves of the court's jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has introduced tests such as the Purposeful Availment Test, considering factors like a company's connection to another jurisdiction and the location of the offense. The Forum Convenience Test has been invoked, allowing courts to decline jurisdiction even if a fraction of the cause of action arises within their territorial bounds. While these legal frameworks have been established, the evolving nature of e-commerce and limited judicial precedents indicate that jurisdictional issues remain a dynamic and evolving area within the Indian legal landscape.

The United States has witnessed pivotal cases that raise fundamental questions about jurisdiction in cyberspace. Two significant cases, *Zippo Manufacturing v. Zippo Dot Com, Inc.*, and *Calder v Jones*, have played defining roles in shaping the understanding of personal jurisdiction in online contexts. In the *Zippo* case, a sliding scale test was established, categorizing websites as passive, interactive, or integral to a defendant's business. The degree of interactivity determined the court's jurisdiction, as demonstrated when *Zippo Dot Com* faced legal action due to its significant contracts with Pennsylvania subscribers and internet service providers. In the *Calder* case, the effects test was applied, stating that personal jurisdiction could be established if actions were intentionally directed at a specific state. This ruling emphasized the intentional and malicious effects of online activities, particularly in cases of defamation. However, challenges persist, exemplified by the *Yahoo!* case where jurisdictional conflicts arose between the United States and France. The case highlighted the limitations of perspective jurisdiction and the complexities of enforcing judgments across international boundaries. These cases underscore the evolving nature of jurisdictional challenges in e-commerce, emphasizing the need for comprehensive legal frameworks to address the global.

Conclusion

In the dynamic landscape of India's digital revolution, electronic commerce has brought forth unprecedented opportunities and challenges. This research paper, titled "E-Commerce Disputes and Jurisdictional Challenges," meticulously investigates the intricate domain of jurisdictional issues in e-commerce, highlighting the absence of specific laws and precedents that have led to significant ambiguities. The study scrutinizes diverse legal jurisdiction theories, emphasizing the complexities arising from determining the place of business, a substantial hurdle. It explores conflicting jurisdictional approaches rooted in factors such as contract formation, performance locations, harm occurrence, and party agreements, underscoring the urgent need to resolve these challenges due to the borderless nature of e-commerce transactions.

The research findings underscore the profound impact of jurisdictional challenges on international trade, online businesses, and legal frameworks. The ambiguity surrounding jurisdiction jeopardizes the enforceability of e-contracts, hindering cross-border e-commerce growth and eroding trust among businesses, consumers, and the legal system. Acknowledging the limitations inherent in the scope of research and available data, the study sheds light on the evolving nature of technology and the lack of uniformity in global e-commerce laws, setting boundaries for this research.

In conclusion, the study highlights the need for continuous analysis of evolving e-commerce technologies and advocates for comparative studies across multiple jurisdictions and in-depth analyses of international treaties to enhance our understanding of cross-border e-commerce disputes. The research also emphasizes exploring emerging technologies like blockchain and artificial intelligence for future studies. Urgent action is required to establish a globally recognized legal framework to address jurisdictional challenges in e-commerce, ensuring a conducive environment for businesses and consumers. Resolving these issues is crucial for upholding online transaction integrity, strengthening global trade, and fostering consumer confidence in the digital marketplace. Collaboration, innovation, and scholarly inquiry are essential to create a harmonized and equitable e-commerce landscape.

Suggestion

Addressing jurisdictional challenges in e-commerce disputes requires a multifaceted approach. Firstly, establishing clear and consistent international standards is crucial, necessitating collaborative efforts to harmonize legal frameworks and minimize conflicts. Secondly, promoting Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) methods, like arbitration and mediation, can expedite resolution processes; thus, encouraging their use through incentives and standardized rules specific to e-commerce is imperative. Thirdly, embracing technological solutions, including blockchain and artificial intelligence, can enhance transparency and efficiency in cross-border transactions, demanding investments in research and development. Strengthening international cooperation via bilateral agreements and specialized tribunals ensures consistent enforcement of judgments. Furthermore, raising awareness among consumers and businesses and regularly updating legal frameworks to align with evolving technology are essential. This comprehensive approach will foster fair and efficient resolution mechanisms, empowering all parties involved in e-commerce disputes.

References

Journal articles

1. Katsh, Ethan. "Online dispute resolution: Some lessons from the e-commerce revolution." Northern Kentucky Law Review, Vol., pp. [1-10] (2001). Available at: <https://heinonline.org/> (last visited Oct 10, 2023)
2. Raunak Kurani, "Jurisdictional Issues Relating to E-Commerce Law in India," Asia Pacific Law & Policy Review 6 (APLPR) 71, [6-8] (2023).
3. Sachin Mishra, Determining Jurisdiction over E-Commerce disputes in India, <http://docs.manupatra.in/newsline/articles/upload/FE4BA350-DBEF-49DA-97D4-09E54ED8B813.pdf>, pg 10-15, 25th October 2023

Online sources

1. Ben Kazinik, The History of eCommerce - How it All Started, Mayple (Oct. 9, 2023), <https://www.mayple.com/blog/history-of-ecommerce>.
2. Anjali Vishwa, The rise of cross-border e-commerce and its impact on global trade, LINKED IN (October 24, 2023), https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/rise-cross-border-e-commerce-its-impact-global-trade-anjali-vishwa?trk=pulse-article_more-articles_related-content-card.